

II. Rejection of Claims 75-92 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph

Claims 75-92 are rejected as allegedly lacking enablement because of unpredictability in whether the level of a LSD marker will be increased or not. Cancellation of these claims renders this ground of rejection moot. As stated supra, these claims are cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer and are cancelled solely to advance prosecution of other subject matter.

III. Rejection of Claims 52-74 and 93-94 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph

Claims 52-74 and 94-94 stand rejected because these claims are said to lack a correlation step. Independent claims 52 and 94 have been amended to provide the requested correlation between the assay and the LSD. Support for the claims as amended is found throughout the specification, including for example, page 4, line 12; page 5, lines 3 and 13-18; page 6, lines 4-6 and lines 18-22; and page 24, lines 1-12.

CONCLUSION

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 303-571-4000.

Respectfully submitted,



Scott Ausenh  
Reg. No. 42,271

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-3834  
Tel: (303) 571-4000  
Fax: (303) 571-4321  
SLA  
DE 7027810 v1